

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,994	03/05/2002	Noriyuki Yamamoto	. 900-420	4459	
23117	7590 11/06/2006		EXAM	INER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			CREPEAU, JONATHAN		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203		K	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1745	-	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2000	DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1./
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/087,994	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this commu	·
Status		•		,
′=		action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>5,9,10,12,13,25,28,30,32 and 34-43</u> is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>5,9,10,12,13,25,28,30,32,34 and 35</u> is Claim(s) <u>36-43</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. s/are allowed.		,
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive 1·(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action addresses claims 5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 25, 28, 30, 32, 34, 35 and newly added claims 36-43. Claims 5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 25, 28, 30, 32, 34, and 35 are allowed. Claims 36-43 are newly rejected under 35 USC 103 and claims 42 and 43 are newly rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph as necessitated by amendment. Accordingly, this action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 42 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 42 recites that "the biochemical catalyst comprising one or more material(s) selected from methanol, formaldehyde, and formic acid." The application as originally filed does not support the recitation that the *catalyst* comprises the claimed species (rather, it is the fuel). Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,994 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al (*Applied Biochem. and Bioeng.*, 1983) in view of Meacher et al (U.S. Patent 5,858,569).

In the abstract, Suzuki teaches fuel cells employing immobilized *Clostridium butyricum* for hydrogen production. The fuel may comprise formic acid, among other materials (see p. 292). As shown in Figure 8, a filter (2) containing the *C. butyricum* cells is upstream of the fuel cell.

Suzuki does not expressly teach the structure of the fuel cell as recited in claims 40 and 41; i.e., that the electrolyte is a polymer electrolyte membrane, that the fuel cell comprises a housing, or that anode-side and cathode-side current collectors sandwich the anode and cathode therebetween and spaces are provided between the anode-side supply inlet and the anode collector and between the anode-side collector and anode.

Meacher et al. is directed to a polymer electrolyte fuel cell comprising a housing (12) (see col. 5, line 24) and planar fuel cell units comprising grooved separator plates (see Figs. 3A and 3B).

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated to use the fuel cell of Meacher et al. as the fuel cell of Suzuki. At column 3, line 65 et seq., Meacher et al. list a number of objects of their inventive fuel cell, including reduced weight, reduced cost, and reduced volume. Accordingly, the artisan would be sufficiently motivated to use the fuel cell of Meacher et al. as the fuel cell of Suzuki. Further, it is submitted that the structure of the fuel cell

Art Unit: 1745

of Meacher et al. meets all of the instantly claimed limitations. In particular, since the anode and cathode-side current collectors (separators) contain grooves as shown in Figs. 3A and 3B, such grooves correspond to the claimed space between the anode-side collector and the anode. As such, the subject matter of claims 40 and 41 would be rendered obvious.

4. Claims 36-39, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al in view of Meacher et al as applied to claims 40 and 41 above, and further in view of Patel et al (U.S. Patent 4,567,117).

The combination of Suzuki and Meacher et al does not expressly teach that the anodeside collector serves as the layer containing the biochemical catalyst, as recited in the instant claims.

Patel et al. is directed to a fuel cell containing an internal reforming catalyst located on the grooves of the separator plates.

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because the artisan would be motivated by the disclosure of Patel et al. to locate the *C. butyricum* catalyst of Sukuki into the grooves of the separator plate (anode-side current collector). The Patel reference is considered to be analogous art to the claimed invention because the reference and the instant invention are both concerned with the formation of hydrogen from a feedstock. In column 3, line 38, Patel et al. teach that

Art Unit: 1745

"[i]t is an object of the present invention to provide an improved fuel cell catalyst member and practice for in situ reforming of process fuels." Therefore, the artisan would be motivated to perform an *in situ* biochemical reforming reaction in the fuel cell of Suzuki by locating the catalyst in the grooves of the current collector in hopes of increasing the performance thereof. As such, the subject matter of claims 36-39, 42, and 43 would be rendered obvious.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,994

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM EST.

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, can be reached at (571) 272-1292. The phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-1700. Documents may be faxed to the central fax server at (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8,8

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745 November 1, 2006